lecture 27: perator Overloadin

VM day today! Update Rust: \$ rustup update Pull 590-material from upstream.

© Kris Jordan 2019 - All Rights Reserved

Let's Implement a Rational Number Module

• A rational number is made of two integers: numerator, denominator

numerator

denominator

• Arithmetic operators can be applied to rational numbers:

$$\frac{n_1}{d_1} + \frac{n_2}{d_2} = \frac{n_1 d_2 + n_2 d_1}{d_1 d_2},$$

$$\frac{n_1}{d_1} - \frac{n_2}{d_2} = \frac{n_1 d_2 - n_2 d_1}{d_1 d_2},$$

$$\frac{n_1}{d_1} \times \frac{n_2}{d_2} = \frac{n_1 n_2}{d_1 d_2},$$

$$\frac{n_1 / d_1}{n_2 / d_2} = \frac{n_1 d_2}{d_1 n_2},$$

Warm-up: Simplify Rationals

- Open:
 - 27-operator-overloading/src/main.rs
 - 27-operator-overloading/src/rational.rs
- Notice two Rational objects are constructed and printed...
- ...they're not simplified, though!
- Fix the constructor so that all Rational objects are simplified upon construction.
- Check-in on PollEv.com/compune when complete and then think through how you would write an add method to add two Rationals together.

Operators on Complex Data Types

- Some data types are well suited to use operators like +, -, *, /:
 - Rational numbers
 - Vectors in the mathematical sense
 - Matrices
 - Data tables
- Relational operators like ==, <, >, etc. are commonly useful, as well
- Most programming languages you've used do not allow you to extend the meaning of operators dependent on their usage
 - For example, to test equality of Strings in Java you say s1.equals(s2) ... yuck

Operator Overloading

- Some languages allow you to define the meaning of operators on user defined types:
 - C++, C#, Python, Rust, Ruby, and many others
- Suppose you're defining a type **T** and have two objects **a** and **b** of type **T**
 - In your programs you'd like to be able to write: **a + b** ... how is this made possible?
- General strategy for operator overloading:
- 1. You add specifically named and typed methods to your data type T
- 2. When the compiler reaches an addition expression LHS is of type T, it
 - Looks to see if T has the specially named method defined on it. If not, error.
 - If so, substitute a + b with a.specialMethod(b)
 - This idea of "magic method calls" is pervasive with *toString* methods even in Java
- Each language that supports operator overloading has its own conventions for implementing.

Operator Overloading in Rust

- We'll take a high-level pass at operator overloading. Full detail in Ch 12.
- Many operators can be overloaded. The book's table 12-1 (right) is a great reference.
- Each operator you want to overload has its own trait. You must implement this trait for the left-hand side's type.

Table 12-1. Summary of traits for operator overloading

Category	Trait	Operator
Unary operators	<pre>std::ops::Neg</pre>	-x
	<pre>std::ops::Not</pre>	1x
Arithmetic operators	<pre>std::ops::Add</pre>	x + y
	<pre>std::ops::Sub</pre>	х - у
	std::ops::Mul	х*у
	<pre>std::ops::Div</pre>	х / у
	<pre>std::ops::Rem</pre>	х % у
Bitwise operators	<pre>std::ops::BitAnd</pre>	х & у
	std::ops::BitOr	х у
	<pre>std::ops::BitXor</pre>	х ^ у
	<pre>std::ops::Shl</pre>	х << у
	std::ops::Shr	x >> y
Compound assignment arithmetic operators	<pre>std::ops::AddAssign</pre>	x += y
	std::ops::SubAssign	x -= y
	std::ops::MulAssign	х *= у
	<pre>std::ops::DivAssign</pre>	х /= у
	<pre>std::ops::RemAssign</pre>	x %= y
Compound assignment bitwise operators	<pre>std::ops::BitAndAssign</pre>	x &= y
	<pre>std::ops::BitOrAssign</pre>	x = y
	<pre>std::ops::BitXorAssign</pre>	x ^= y
	<pre>std::ops::ShlAssign</pre>	x <<= y
	<pre>std::ops::ShrAssign</pre>	x >>= y
Comparison	std::cmp::PartialEq	x == y, x != y
	std::cmp::PartialOrd	x < y, x <= y, x > y, x >=
Indexing	std::ops::Index	x[y], &x[y]
	<pre>std::ops::IndexMut</pre>	x[y] = z, &mut x[y]

Follow-along: Overload the Multiplication Operator

- The multiplication operator's trait is Mul
- Let's implement it for Rational as shown below
- Notice the mul method's self is the left-hand side rational and the right-hand side rational is the second parameter of the method.
- Output is the associated type specifying the return type of the operator.



• Now, in main, let's try multiplying our two Rationals together.

Hands-on: Implement the Addition Operator

- Add another impl block Add for Rational.
 - It should look exactly like Mul's except the function's name is add.
- Implement the arithmetic to return a Rational that's: lhs + rhs
- Try using the addition operator in main to test its correctness.
- Check-in when your overloaded addition is working.

```
impl Add for Rational {
   type Output = Rational;
   fn add(self, rhs: Rational) -> Rational {
      Rational::from(
         self.n * rhs.d + rhs.n * self.d,
         self.d * rhs.d,
        )
    }
}
```

Follow-along: Operating on Different Types

- What if we wanted to be able to add an i64 with a Rational?
- The default impl of traits assumes the same type for LHS and RHS.
- You can override the RHS with a generic type on the Trait. For example:

impl Add<Rational> for i64 { type Output = Rational; fn add(self, rhs: Rational) -> Rational { Rational::from(self, 1) + rhs

Hands-on: Addition for Rational + i64

- Add another impl block Add for Rational.
- Instead of overloading addition for i64 + Rational it should overload for Rational + i64.
- Come up with an example to test in main.
- Check-in when your code is working!

Preview: Implementing a Macro

- We currently construct Rationals via the Rational::from static method
- For example: Rational::from(1, 2)
- Wouldn't it be nice if we could express a Rational more naturally?
- Perhaps something like: rat!(1 / 2)
- With a *function* this is generally impossible because the 1 / 2 expression is evaluated *before* the "rat! function" would be called.
- With a *macro*, because macros are *expanded* in an early stage compilation, we can match against the three tokens (1, /, 2) and *rewrite* a substitution *using* those *tokens*.

Defining a simple macro

- Macros preprocess your source code to make substitutions before compilation
 - They're a deep subject with *lots* of nuances covered in Chapter 20
- To make *any* sense of macros requires understanding *tokens* and *parse trees*
- In Rust, a macro definition specifies patterns of tokens or AST nodes to match
 - Those tokens / AST nodes are then substituted into a template of Rust code
- e.g. the rules below match lhs/rhs "token trees" separated by a "/" token

```
#[macro_export]
macro_rules! rat {
    ($lhs:tt / $rhs:tt) => (Rational::from($lhs, $rhs))
}
```